1. The Symbol, Number, or Score in the Rating Scale used to Denote the Credit Rating Categories and Notches as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of Rule 17g-7

Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co of America

AM Best #: 004377 **NAIC #:** 20362 **AIIN / FEIN #:** 22-3818012

Financial Strength Rating	View Definition
Rating:	A+ (Superior)
Affiliation Code:	g (Group Rating)
Financial Size Category:	XV (Greater than or Equal to USD 2.00 Billion)
Outlook:	Stable
Action:	Affirmed
Effective Date:	June 5, 2026
Initial Rating Date:	June 30, 1924

Long-Term Issuer Credit Rating	View Definition
Long-Term:	aa (Superior)
Outlook:	Stable
Action:	Affirmed
Effective Date:	June 5, 2026
Initial Rating Date:	June 24, 2009

(i) Denotes Indicative Rating

u Denotes Under Review Rating

pca Best's Preliminary Credit Assessment is an independent opinion on the relative general credit strengths and weaknesses of an issuer, obligor, security, or a proposed transaction or financing structure primarily based on business plans, term sheets, and AM Best's expectations relative to the execution of such business plans. AM Best does not define a PCA as a Credit Rating; however, the assessment is expressed using the existing Best's Credit Rating scales.

Best's Credit Rating Analyst	
Rating Issued by:	A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc.
	Ambest Road, Oldwick, NJ 08858
	United States
	+1 908 439 2200
Senior Financial Analyst :	Joni Cerbone
	+1 908-882-1690
Director :	Doniella Pliss

2. The Version of the Procedure or Methodology used to Determine the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(B) of Rule 17g-7

Rating Methodology and Criteria Report:	Version
The following Methodologies and Criteria Procedures were used at the time of and the basis for the proposed rating	
Best's Credit Rating Methodology	08/29/2024
Catastrophe Analysis in AM Best Ratings	02/27/2026
Available Capital and Insurance Holding Company Analysis	09/18/2025
Scoring and Assessing Innovation	02/20/2025
Understanding Global BCAR	09/18/2025

3. The Main Assumptions and Principles used to Construct the Procedures and Methodology used to Determine the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C) of Rule 17g-7

Assumptions

Based on historical experience and AM Best's transition studies, ratings typically move no more than one or two notches when Rating Actions occur. However, certain factors could cause larger scale movement in the ratings. AM Best identifies the following primary factors as having the potential to significantly affect ratings:

- Data accuracy and reliability
- Interest rates
- Investment impairments
- Liquidity
- Equity markets
- Catastrophe model risk
- Reinsurance market capacity and credit risk
- Mortality risk
- Morbidity risk
- Holding company/affiliates
- Country risk
- Regulatory risk

Elements of Country Risk

AM Best defines country risk as the risk that country-specific factors could adversely affect an insurer's ability to meet its financial obligations. Country risk is evaluated and incorporated into all of AM Best's credit ratings. As part of evaluating country risk, AM Best identifies the various risks within a country that may directly or indirectly affect an insurance company.

AM Best separates these risks into three main categories: economic risk, political risk and financial system risk. Given AM Best's particular focus on the insurance industry, financial system risk is further divided into two sections: insurance risk and non-insurance financial system risk.

Economic risk is the likelihood that fundamental weaknesses in a country's economy will cause adverse developments for an insurer. AM Best's determination of economic risk evaluates the state of the domestic economy, government finances, and international transactions, as well as prospects for growth and stability.

Political risk is the likelihood that governmental or bureaucratic inefficiencies, societal tensions, an inadequate legal system or international tensions will cause adverse developments for an insurer. Political risk comprises the stability of a government and society, the effectiveness of international diplomatic relationships, the reliability and integrity of the legal system and business infrastructure, the efficiency of the government bureaucracy, and the appropriateness and effectiveness of the government's economic policies.

Financial system risk (non-insurance) is the risk that financial volatility may erupt due to inadequate reporting standards, weak banking systems or asset markets, or poor regulatory structure. Non-insurance financial system risk considers a country's banking system, accounting standards, financial market development, and government finances, and assesses how vulnerable the financial system is to external or internal volatility. Basel II & III, World Bank Insolvency Principles and international accounting standards are referenced in the analysis, as are the performances of banks, equity indices and fixed-income securities.

Insurance risk is the risk that the insurance industry's levels of development and public awareness, transparency and effectiveness of regulation, reporting standards, and regulatory sophistication will contribute to a volatile financial system and compromise an insurer's ability to pay claims. Insurance risk, which AM Best considers as a distinct subsection of financial system risk, is addressed separately because of the importance of, and AM Best's specific focus on, the industry. The determination is based heavily on the Insurance Core Principles (ICP) of the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS). AM Best employs a sizable subset of the 28 ICPs by organizing them into three categories: 1) government commitment to an open and well-regulated insurance industry; 2) adequacy of supervisory authority and its supporting infrastructure; and 3) insurer accountability.

Country Risk Tiers

The assignment of CRTs to score ranges is based on AM Best's assertion that the risk in countries can be categorized loosely to provide a basis of comparison, provided that country-by-country differences are acknowledged. Therefore, CRTs can be classified, in a typical scenario, by the following:

- CRT-1: A country receiving a CRT-1 assignment is characterized by a predictable and transparent political environment, legal system and business infrastructure, a sophisticated financial system regulation with deep capital markets, and a mature insurance industry framework.
- CRT-2: A country receiving a CRT-2 assignment is characterized by a predictable and transparent political environment, legal system and business infrastructure, sufficient financial system regulation, and a mature insurance industry framework.
- CRT-3: A country receiving a CRT-3 assignment is characterized by a developing political environment, legal system, business infrastructure, capital market, and insurance regulatory structure.
- CRT-4: A country receiving a CRT-4 assignment is characterized by a relatively unpredictable and nontransparent political, legal and business environment with an underdeveloped capital market, and a partially to fully inadequate insurance regulatory structure.
- CRT-5: A country receiving a CRT-5 assignment is characterized by an unpredictable and opaque political, legal and business environment with weak rule of law, lower human development and social instability, a limited, illiquid or nonexistent capital market, and a nascent insurance industry.

In short, as country risk increases (as measured by a higher Country Risk Tier), the distribution of ratings generally migrates down the rating scale. This same relationship effectively applies to any significant category of risk an insurer faces, i.e., higher risk exposure places pressures upon financial stability.

4. The Potential Limitations of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(D) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to the **Guide to Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- 1(a). Usage of Ratings and Limitations
- 8. Best's Rating Scales: Categories and Symbols

5. Information on the Uncertainty of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(E) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to the **Guide to Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- 2(b)ii. Quality of Information

6. Use of Third Party Due Diligence in Taking the Credit Rating Action as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(F) of Rule 17g-7

AM Best Company did not use due diligence services of a third party in taking the Rating Action. This disclosure only applies to Rating Actions associated with asset-backed securities as defined by section 3(a)(79) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

7. How Servicer or Remittance Reports were used, and with what Frequency, to Conduct Surveillance of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(G) of Rule 17g-7

How Servicer / Remittance reports were used:

Not Applicable

Frequency of surveillance:

Not Applicable

8. A Description of the Types of Data about the Obligor, Issuer, Security, or Money Market Instrument that were Relied Upon for the Purpose of Determining the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(H)(i) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to the **Guide to Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- 2(b). Compile Information
- 2(b)i. Material Sources of Information

9. An Overall Assessment of the Quality of Information Available and Considered in Determining the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(I) of Rule 17g-7

Please refer to the **Guide to Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- 2(b)i. Material Sources of Information
- 2(b)ii. Quality of Information

10. Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of Rule 17g-7

1) AM Best Company has been paid to determine the Credit Rating by the obligor being rated or the issue, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated.

Rating Opinion Characteristics	
Solicited	Participating

2) AM Best Company was also paid for services by the company other than determining Credit Ratings during the most recently ended fiscal year.

Please refer to the **Guide to Best's Credit Ratings** for the following:

- 1(d). Not an Investment Advisor or Consultant

11. An Explanation or Measure of the Potential Volatility of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(K) of Rule 17g-7

Factors and Magnitude - Change Rating:

Positive rating actions could occur if the group further enhances its business profile to exhibit an indisputable market leadership position with strong brand recognition across both domestic and overseas markets, while maintaining strong profitability in its domestic and international operations. Potential rating impact:Minor

Negative rating actions could occur if there is material deterioration in its balance sheet fundamentals driven by a significant increase in risk amount outpacing its capital growth. Potential rating impact:Minor

Negative rating actions could occur if there is persistent and significant deterioration in operating performance stemming from weak underwriting and investment results. Potential rating impact:Minor

NOTE: Only a rating committee can make a rating decision and the above referenced factors would be taken into consideration, along with all available relevant information in determining a Rating Action. However, the magnitude of a potential change in the rating that could reasonably occur as a result of the impact of the factors listed above are characterized by the following summary chart:

Rating Change Characterization	Number of ICR Notches (Up or Down)
Minor	0 - 1
Moderate	2 - 3
Severe	> 3

12. The Information on the Content of the Information of the Credit Rating as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(L) of Rule 17g-7

(1) Rating History and Performance

- Financial Strength / Issuer Credit Rating History

(2) Expected Probability of Default and Expected Loss

The Commission states that it does not expect NRSROs to alter the meanings of their Credit Ratings or rating procedures and methodologies to conform to this disclosure requirement. Rather, the Commission expects NRSROs to provide "information" to the extent it is consistent with their procedures and methodologies for determining Credit Ratings, on the expected probability of default and expected loss in the event of default. According to the Commission, this information could consist of, for example, historical default and loss statistics, respectively, for the class or subclass of the Credit Rating.

AM Best's Credit Ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of a specific default probability or loss expectation. AM Best is providing the historical default statistics or derivatives of such default statistics to comply with Rule 17g-7(a)(ii)(L)(2). AM Best does not produce any loss statistics related to any classes of Credit Ratings so it has no information about expected loss given default. Furthermore, expected losses given default is not consistent with AM Best's procedures and methodologies for determining Credit Ratings.

INSURANCE COMPANY DEFAULT RATES (FSR)

FSR	1 - Year	3 - Year	10 - Year
A++	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
A+	0.04%	0.17%	0.51%
A	0.04%	0.27%	1.80%
A-	0.15%	0.73%	2.80%
B++	0.34%	1.45%	4.29%
B+	0.75%	2.16%	6.31%
B	1.51%	4.39%	8.81%
B-	3.45%	6.90%	12.07%
C++	3.97%	6.96%	11.20%
C+	3.51%	7.28%	11.64%
C	3.37%	7.37%	15.50%
C-	11.94%	13.95%	17.39%
D	17.35%	22.16%	29.41%

Note: Data from 1992 to 2018

1. Impairments as a Proxy for Defaults. Upon the public placement of a company, via public court order or other international equivalent, into conservation, rehabilitation and/or insolvent liquidation it is designated by AM Best as a Financially Impaired Company (FIC). Supervisory actions undertaken by Insurance Department regulators without court order are not considered impairments for purposes of compiling Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Exhibit 1 statistics unless clear direction is given by the regulator to delay or limit policy or contract payments.

There are a number of regulatory oversight actions that may be taken with respect to troubled insurers in which court orders are not sought, such as required company action plans, various forms and levels of supervision, and licensure actions. Companies may be subject to these insurance department orders and actions on multiple occasions, particularly in certain jurisdictions, and while these regulatory actions suggest concern and impose constraints, they are not necessarily indicative of an insurer's inability to meet its ongoing policy and contract obligations.

Additionally, companies that enter voluntary dissolution and are not under financial duress at that time are not counted as financially impaired.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Insurance Carriers (FSR). This table was constructed by directly observing the default rates of insurance operating companies rated on the traditional Financial Strength Rating (FSR) scale.

3. Rating a Measure of Relative Credit Risk. AM Best's Credit Ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

4. Data Consistent With Submission to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The data used to calculate default rates in this table is consistent with the data used to calculate the 1-year, 3-year and 10-year default rates for insurers as required by the SEC in Exhibit 1 of Form NRSRO. Unlike the single cohort method of analysis used in the submission to the Commission, however, the calculations in this table reflect the use of the average cohort approach in order to capture the effect of the various economic cycles experienced by insurance carriers since 1992 when the current 13-point FSR scale (A++ to D) was adopted by AM Best.

5. Data Does Not Necessarily Reflect Default Expectations. The data in this table does not necessarily reflect our default rate expectations. For example, the fact that we show no default rate for the 1-year "A++" category does not mean that we expect no defaults for this rating. It only means that we have not yet observed any historical defaults over a 1-year period for companies rated "A++".

6. Data Changes Periodically. AM Best may periodically change the data in the table if warranted. The reasons for the revisions may be as follows: If AM Best 1) adds more rating cohorts which significantly changes the calculated default rates, 2) changes its criteria for recognizing insurance company defaults, or 3) incorporates new defaults or adjusts existing default data.

INSURANCE COMPANY DEFAULT RATES (ICR)

ICRs	1 - Year	3 - Year	10 - Year
aaa	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
aa+	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
aa	0.04%	0.17%	0.51%
aa-	0.04%	0.17%	0.51%
a+	0.04%	0.27%	1.80%
a	0.04%	0.27%	1.80%
a-	0.15%	0.73%	2.80%
bbb+	0.34%	1.45%	4.29%
bbb	0.34%	1.45%	4.29%
bbb-	0.75%	2.16%	6.31%
bb+	1.51%	4.39%	8.81%
bb	1.51%	4.39%	8.81%
bb-	3.45%	6.90%	12.07%
b+	3.97%	6.96%	11.20%
b	3.97%	6.96%	11.20%
b-	3.51%	7.28%	11.64%
ccc+	3.37%	7.37%	15.50%
ccc	3.37%	7.37%	15.50%

ICRs	1 - Year	3 - Year	10 - Year
ccc-	11.94%	13.95%	17.39%
cc	11.94%	13.95%	17.39%
c	17.35%	22.16%	29.41%

Note: Default rates based on FSR to ICR Translation.

See Best's Credit Rating Methodology, *ICR/FSR Rating Translation Table* (Exhibit D.1)

1. Impairments as a Proxy for Defaults. Upon the public placement of a company, via public court order or other international equivalent, into conservation, rehabilitation and/or insolvent liquidation it is designated by AM Best as a Financially Impaired Company (FIC). Supervisory actions undertaken by Insurance Department regulators without court order are not considered impairments for purposes of compiling Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Exhibit 1 statistics unless clear direction is given by the regulator to delay or limit policy or contract payments.

There are a number of regulatory oversight actions that may be taken with respect to troubled insurers in which court orders are not sought, such as required company action plans, various forms and levels of supervision, and licensure actions. Companies may be subject to these insurance department orders and actions on multiple occasions, particularly in certain jurisdictions, and while these regulatory actions suggest concern and impose constraints, they are not necessarily indicative of an insurer's inability to meet its ongoing policy and contract obligations.

Additionally, companies that enter voluntary dissolution and are not under financial duress at that time are not counted as financially impaired.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Insurance Carriers(ICR). This table was not constructed by directly observing the default rates of insurance operating companies rated on the 21-point Issuer Credit Rating (ICR) scale because default data associated with ICRs is sparse. It was constructed by converting each operating company Financial Strength Rating (FSR) to an equivalent ICR based on AM Best's FSR/ICR translation table.

3. Rating a Measure of Relative Credit Risk. AM Best's Credit Ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

4. Data Does Not Necessarily Reflect Default Expectations. The data in this table does not necessarily reflect our default rate expectations. For example, the fact that we show no default rate for the 1-year "aaa" category does not mean that we expect no defaults for this rating. It only means that we have not yet observed any historical defaults over a 1-year period for companies with an equivalent "aaa" rating.

5. Data Changes Periodically. AM Best may periodically change the data in the table if warranted. The reasons for the revisions may be as follows: If AM Best 1) adds more rating cohorts which significantly changes the calculated default rates, 2) changes its criteria for recognizing insurance company defaults, or 3) incorporates new defaults or adjusts existing default data.

CORPORATE DEFAULT RATES

ICRs	1 - Year	3 - Year	10 - Year
aaa	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
aa+	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
aa	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
aa-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
a+	0.02%	0.08%	0.26%
a	0.04%	0.17%	0.51%
a-	0.04%	0.17%	0.51%

ICRs	1 - Year	3 - Year	10 - Year
bbb+	0.04%	0.27%	1.80%
bbb	0.04%	0.27%	1.80%
bbb-	0.15%	0.73%	2.80%
bb+	0.34%	1.45%	4.29%
bb	0.34%	1.45%	4.29%
bb-	0.75%	2.16%	6.31%
b+	1.13%	3.27%	7.56%
b	1.51%	4.39%	8.81%
b-	1.51%	4.39%	8.81%
ccc+	3.45%	6.90%	12.07%
ccc	3.71%	6.93%	11.64%
ccc-	3.97%	6.96%	11.20%
cc	3.97%	6.96%	11.20%
c	3.51%	7.28%	11.64%

Note: Default rates based on notching applied to insurance ICRs.

See Best's Credit Rating Methodology, *Typical Notching Difference Between Operating Company ICR and Holding Company ICR* (Part IV, Exhibit B.1)

1. Impairments as a Proxy for Defaults. Upon the public placement of a company, via public court order or other international equivalent, into conservation, rehabilitation and/or insolvent liquidation it is designated by AM Best as a Financially Impaired Company (FIC). Supervisory actions undertaken by Insurance Department regulators without court order are not considered impairments for purposes of compiling Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Exhibit 1 statistics unless clear direction is given by the regulator to delay or limit policy or contract payments.

There are a number of regulatory oversight actions that may be taken with respect to troubled insurers in which court orders are not sought, such as required company action plans, various forms and levels of supervision, and licensure actions. Companies may be subject to these insurance department orders and actions on multiple occasions, particularly in certain jurisdictions, and while these regulatory actions suggest concern and impose constraints, they are not necessarily indicative of an insurer's inability to meet its ongoing policy and contract obligations.

Additionally, companies that enter voluntary dissolution and are not under financial duress at that time are not counted as financially impaired.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Corporates. This table was not constructed by directly observing the default rates of corporate ratings on the 21-point Issuer Credit Rating (ICR) scale because default data associated with corporate ICRs is sparse. Rather, this table was constructed by a) converting each default rate associated with each Financial Strength Rating (FSR) to its equivalent operating company ICR based on AM Best's FSR/ICR translation table, and then, b) converting the operating company ICR to an equivalent corporate rating based on a notching algorithm set forth in the criteria, Insurance Holding Company and Debt Ratings, to reflect the legal separation of insurance holding companies from operating insurers as well as the normal subordination of insurance holding company creditors to operating company policyholders.

3. Ratings a Measure of Relative Credit Risk. AM Best's Credit Ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of an obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

4. Data Does Not Necessarily Reflect Default Expectations. The data in this table does not necessarily reflect our default rate expectations. For example, the fact that we show no default rate for the 1-year "aaa" category does not mean

that we expect no defaults for this rating. It only means that we have not yet observed any historical defaults over a 1-year period for corporate obligations with an equivalent "aaa" rating.

5. Data Changes Periodically. AM Best may periodically change the data in the table if warranted. The reasons for the revisions may be as follows: If AM Best 1) adds more rating cohorts which significantly changes the calculated default rates, 2) changes its criteria for recognizing insurance company defaults, or 3) incorporates new defaults or adjusts existing default data.

ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES DEFAULT RATES (All Subclasses)

ICRs	1 - Year	3 - Year	10 - Year
aaa	0.03%	0.11%	0.42%
aa+	0.08%	0.14%	0.58%
aa	0.11%	0.17%	0.69%
aa-	0.14%	0.28%	0.88%
a+	0.16%	0.33%	1.09%
a	0.20%	0.41%	1.31%
a-	0.22%	0.62%	2.24%
bbb+	0.28%	0.96%	3.45%
bbb	0.35%	1.26%	4.50%
bbb-	0.45%	1.56%	5.48%
bb+	0.84%	2.90%	10.00%
bb	1.23%	4.68%	15.65%
bb-	1.56%	6.02%	19.50%
b+	3.28%	9.73%	31.11%
b	3.73%	10.80%	33.58%
b-	4.77%	13.08%	38.23%
ccc+	6.74%	17.66%	47.84%
ccc	10.33%	20.41%	49.46%
ccc-	13.85%	23.11%	51.07%
cc	19.53%	28.87%	57.44%
c	23.30%	31.74%	59.04%

Source: Best's Insurance-Linked Securities & Structures Methodology

Best's Idealized Issue Default Matrix (Exhibit E.2)

1. Asset-Backed Securities Default. AM Best designates an asset-backed security as being in default if the issuer of the security failed to timely pay principal or interest due according to the terms of the security.

2. Construction of Default Rates of Asset-Backed Securities. This table was not constructed by directly observing the default rates of asset-backed securities. The default rates of the ratings in this category are equivalent to the default rates in Best's Idealized Issue Default Matrix. This matrix reflects AM Best's long-term view of defaults of asset-backed securities and structured finance transactions. This view was formed by considering the defaults associated with operating insurance companies rated by AM Best, the notching process employed to derive the ratings associated with holding company debt obligations, and the need to make distinctions between rating categories.

3. Ratings a Measure of Relative Credit Risk. AM Best's Credit Ratings generally are intended to indicate the relative degree of credit risk of and obligor or debt instrument rather than reflect a measure of specific default probability.

4. Data Changes Periodically. The data in the table will change if AM Best modifies Best's Idealized Issue Default Matrix. This matrix is published in Best's Insurance-Linked Securities & Structures Methodology and may be revised based on more recent insurance company default data.

For ratings issued in or endorsed into the European Union, historic default rates published by ESMA are available at:
https://registers.esma.europa.eu/cerep-publication/

For ratings issued or endorsed into the United Kingdom, historic default rates published by the FCA are available at:
https://data.fca.org.uk/#/ceres/craStats

13. Information on the Sensitivity of the Credit Rating to Assumptions as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(M) of Rule 17g-7

Topic	(1) Key Assumption Detail	(2) Potential Impact on the Rating
Data Accuracy and Reliability	While public information is considered as part of the rating process, AMB relies primarily on audited financial statements, third party actuarial reports, documents and assertions provided by management. The assumption is that the financial information provided is reliable and credible, although AMB does not undertake to verify the accuracy of this information.	A material misstatement of financial performance or capital position, whether through negligence or fraud, could negatively affect a company's rating. For example, inflated asset valuations or a faulty actuarial opinion of reserve adequacy could result in an incorrect assessment of the financial strength of a company.
Investment Impairments	Investment impairments at or below the historical average.	Significant downgrades in credit quality of fixed income portfolios, realized losses through impairments of invested assets increases required capital and reduces net income and capital/surplus. Rating Action may occur.
Equity markets	Assumes reversion to mean historical returns.	Significant declines in global equity markets of > 30% would result in greater net amount at risk and need to set up additional reserves for equity linked products. Also results in lower product fees and risk adjusted capitalization which could negatively impact the rating.
Catastrophe Model Risk	Key assumption used in determining this rating takes into consideration information provided by third party catastrophe modelers. Best does not validate these models but assumes a degree of confidence in these models which Best uses as a benchmark to measure a company's exposure to modeled catastrophe risk. Best also uses this modeled output as a discussion point around enterprise risk management and risk mitigation. While this output can have a high degree of variability, Best believes these models are very useful tools which provides its users with the expertise, research and technical knowledge otherwise unavailable to them. Company specific data quality, data resolution and historical model reliability are also elements which lend itself to confidence in the modeled loss provided.	Catastrophe models are risk management tools that can vary widely due to the actual event (versus model event set) and model sensitivities including geocoding / data resolution, data quality (construction / ITV), reliability (missing information) and coverage. Therefore, if assumptions used in the model prove to be significantly different from actual performance, a company's capital position can be potentially exposed to a material negative impact due to a lack of reinsurance protection. Rating pressure may occur as a result.

Topic	(1) Key Assumption Detail	(2) Potential Impact on the Rating
Reinsurance Market Capacity and Credit Risk	A key assumption used in the determination of this rating assumes no material change in availability and/or credit quality of its reinsurers; receivable balances to be current with no evidence of overdue material balances or third-party credit default/dispute risk. Given the significant role that third party reinsurance plays in this rating, this rating is heavily dependent on reinsurance and susceptible to reinsurer default.	In the event reinsurance capacity available to the company is materially and negatively impacted and/or its reinsurers inability or unwillingness to pay its claims, negative rating pressure could occur.

14. Information on the Representations, Warranties, and Enforcement Mechanisms as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(N) of Rule 17g-7

The representations, warranties, and enforcement mechanisms available to investors which were disclosed in the prospectus, private placement memorandum, or other offering documents for the asset-backed security and that relate to an asset pool underlying the asset-backed security. This disclosure only applies to Rating Actions associated with asset-backed securities as defined by section 3(a)(79) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Furthermore, the disclosure applies to asset-backed securities that were initially rated on or after September 26, 2011.

15. Attestation as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(iii) of Rule 17g-7

Attestation

Attached is a signed statement by a person within AM Best that has responsibility for the Rating Action and, to the best knowledge of the person: (A) No part of the Credit Rating was influenced by any other business activities; (B) The Credit Rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated; and (C) The Credit Rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

As the person responsible for this Rating Action, which was determined through the rating committee process, to the best of my knowledge:

- No part of the Credit Rating was influenced by any other business activities;
- The Credit Rating was based solely upon the merits of the obligor, security, or money market instrument being rated; and
- The Credit Rating was an independent evaluation of the credit risk of the obligor, security, or money market instrument.

Note: Best's Credit Ratings are a product/service of AM Best Rating Services, Inc. and not of any particular individual group or committee within AM Best.

Name, Title: **/s/ Christie Lee**, Senior Director-Analytics

16. Additional Regulatory Disclosures

Key Elements Underlying the Rating

The Rating Rationale is based on the consolidated financials of the Rating Unit 052662 MS&AD Insurance Group Holdings of which 004377 Mitsui Sumitomo Ins Co of Amer is a member.

Rating Rationale

Balance Sheet Strength: Strongest

- The balance sheet strength of MS&AD Insurance Group Holdings, Inc. (MS&AD), is supported by its risk-adjusted capitalisation, which is assessed at the strongest level, as measured by Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR).
- The group's financial leverage remains conservative, with an adjusted debt leverage ratio at 14.1% as of March 2026, as calculated by AM Best, including credit for subordinated bonds. The group's interest coverage ratio remains strong.
- Despite considerate equity risk and underwriting risks arising from its sizeable equity portfolio and growing insurance operations, the group maintains a robust capital base, providing substantial capacity to absorb these risks.
- AM Best expects that the group's accelerated disposal of strategic equity holdings and continued diversification of its investment portfolio to gradually reduce its overall exposure to domestic equity risk in the forthcoming years.
- As one of the largest listed insurance groups in Japan, MS&AD benefits from strong financial flexibility and good access to equity and debt capital markets when needed.

Operating Performance: Strong

- MS&AD has maintained a consistent trend of premium growth over the past five fiscal years, supported by stable domestic underwriting operations and continued expansion of its overseas insurance business.
- The group's operating performance remained strong, benefiting from resilient domestic earnings, improving overseas profitability, and substantial gains generated from investment activities and strategic equity disposals.
- Despite continued inflationary pressure on claims costs in the voluntary automobile insurance line, the group reported a significant improvement in domestic underwriting profitability in fiscal year 2025, supported by premium rate revisions, lower natural catastrophe losses, and improved profitability in the fire insurance business.
- The group's overseas operations continued to demonstrate improving profitability in fiscal year 2025, with MS Amlin Underwriting Limited and MS Amlin AG (MS Reinsurance) reporting strong earnings growth and improved underwriting performance through strict cycle management.
- Over the longer term, AM Best expects continued growth and profitability improvement in MS&AD's overseas operations, supported by the sustained turnaround of its Lloyd's and reinsurance businesses and expansion in the US specialty insurance market, while stable domestic underwriting performance is expected to remain the foundation of the group's operating results.

Business Profile: Favorable

- MS&AD is one of the largest insurance groups in Japan and maintains a strong position in the highly consolidated domestic non-life insurance market, with approximately one-third market share in terms of net premiums written (NPW) through Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Company, Limited (MSI), and Aioi Nissay Dowa Insurance Company, Limited (ADI).
- The group benefits from strong brand recognition, extensive agency networks, and diversified underwriting capabilities across major domestic non-life insurance business lines, supporting its stable market position in Japan.
- MS&AD has established a sizeable overseas insurance platform spanning Europe, the Americas, Asia, Lloyd's and the global reinsurance market, with overseas operations contributing approximately 35% of the group's non-life NPW and providing meaningful earnings diversification and long-term growth opportunities. The new overseas management framework is expected to allow more centralised governance and efficient decision-making for its growing international business.

- The US market continues to represent a key strategic priority for the group. Through MSIG USA, MS Transverse Insurance Group, and its strategic investment in W.R. Berkley Corporation, MS&AD continues to strengthen its specialty insurance capabilities and expand its presence in the US market.
- The planned merger of MSI and ADI, targeted for April 2027, is expected to reinforce MS&AD's competitive position in Japan's mature non-life insurance market by combining MSI's broad-based commercial segment capabilities with ADI's strength in retail and auto segments and strategic partnerships.

Enterprise Risk Management: Appropriate

- MS&AD has a well-developed and sophisticated enterprise risk management (ERM) framework to identify risks and control their impact on the group's financial soundness.
- Under its ERM framework, the group makes use of quantitative benchmarks including economic solvency ratio and adjusted return on equity (ROE) to balance risk-taking activities, capital allocation, and risk limits for its diversified business portfolio.
- The group runs stress tests under various scenarios and reverse stress tests to identify potential vulnerabilities in the group's risk portfolio and to check the impact of stress on its capital.
- The group has high natural catastrophe exposure in Japan, which is partially mitigated by its conservative reinsurance scheme and diversified underwriting portfolio by region and product.

Outlook Rationale

The stable outlooks reflect the expectation that MS&AD will maintain its overall balance sheet strength assessment, supported by the strongest level of risk-adjusted capitalisation and robust absolute capital, while ongoing strategic initiatives will help maintain its strong operating performance and favourable business profile over the intermediate term.

Note: An outlook determination is assigned in tandem with a Best's Credit Rating and other opinion types to supplement the opinion by providing an indication of the potential future direction of the opinion over an intermediate period, generally defined as 36 months. For additional information refer to: Guide to Best's Credit Ratings

Additional Disclosures:

- For information on whether the credit rating is solicited or unsolicited, please see Section 10 above.
- For information on the lead rating analyst and name and address of the entity responsible for the rating, please see the "Best's Credit Rating Analyst" box at the beginning of this form.
- For information on the person primarily responsible for approving the credit rating, please see Section 15 above.
- For information on the substantially material sources of information for this rating action, please see Section 8 above.
- For more information on the methodology used to develop this rating action, please see Section 2 above.
- The below listed model was used to determine this rating. For a description of the model, see the link to the associated criteria in Section 2.
  - Global Best's Capital Adequacy Ratio (BCAR) Model
- For information on actions or events that could lead to an upgrade or downgrade, please see Section 11 above.
- For information on the rating categories and symbols, please see the "View Definition" links in the rating boxes in Section 1 above.
- For information on the definition of default, please see Section 12 above.
- For information on the sensitivity of assumptions in the rating process, please see Section 13 above.
- The credit rating was disclosed to the rated entity prior to publication.

Copyright © 2025 by A.M. Best Company, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. No portion of the content may be reproduced, distributed, or stored in a database or retrieval system, or transmitted, or uploaded into any external applications, algorithms, bots or websites, including those using artificial intelligence or machine learning technologies such as large language models (LLM) and generative artificial intelligence (Gen-AI) or retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of AM

Best. AM Best does not warrant the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the AM Best content. While the content was obtained from sources believed to be reliable, its accuracy is not guaranteed. You specifically acknowledge that neither AM Best nor the content gives any investment, financial, tax, insurance, or legal advice. You are solely responsible for seeking competent professional advice before making any investment, financial, tax or insurance decision. For additional details, refer to our *Terms of Use* available at the AM Best website: https://web.ambest.com/about/terms-of-use. All information contained herein was obtained by AM BEST from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, AM BEST does not make any representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein, and all such information is provided on an "as is" and "as available" basis, without any warranties of any kind, either express or implied. Under no circumstances shall AM BEST have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage of any kind, in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of AM BEST or any of its directors, officers, employees, or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory, punitive or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, personal injury, pain and suffering, emotional distress, loss of revenue, loss of present or prospective profits, loss of business or anticipated savings, or loss of goodwill) resulting from the use of, or inability to use, any such information, in each case, regardless of (i) whether AM BEST was advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, (ii) whether such damages were foreseeable, and (iii) the legal or equitable theory (contract, tort or otherwise) upon which the claim is based. The credit ratings, performance assessments, financial reporting analysis, projections, and any other observation, position or conclusion constituting part of the information contained herein are, and shall be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities, insurance policies, contracts or any other financial obligations, nor do they individually or collectively address the suitability of any particular financial obligation for a specific purpose or purchaser. Credit risk is the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual, financial obligations as they come due. Service performance risk is the risk that an entity may not meet its contractual service performance obligations on behalf of its insurance partners. Consequently, neither credit ratings nor performance assessments address any other risk, including but not limited to, liquidity risk, market value risk or price volatility of rated securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR ASSESSMENT OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY AM BEST IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each credit rating, performance assessment or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment or purchasing decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein. Each such user will, with due care, make its own study and evaluation of each security or other financial obligation, and of each issuer and guarantor of, and each provider of credit support, and an independent view of service provider performance for, each security or other financial obligation that it may consider purchasing, holding, or selling or for each service contract that it may consider entering into. For additional detail on credit ratings or performance assessments, and their respective scales, usage, and limitations, refer to the Guide to Best's Credit Ratings (https://www.ambest.com/ratings/index.html) or the Guide to Best's Performance Assessments (https://www.ambest.com/ratings/assessmentMethodology.html).